

Animal Welfare Licensing Inspector's Report

INSPECTION DETAILS

Type of Inspection

Name of Local Authority

Inspection Purpose

Licence Year

Inspection Date

New businesses that do not have three years of compliance history with a local authority or a UKAS-accredited body should automatically be considered high risk as they have no operational history.

ESTABLISHMENT DETAILS

Establishment Name, Address and Postcode

Name of applicant and address

Animals intended to be kept, types and numbers

Total No of attendant/ staff working at the premises

VETERINARY PRACTITIONER DETAILS

Name of Veterinary Practice

SECTIONS

Summary-Actions Required (DEFRA Conditions)

See Summary inspection proforma/checklist for further details

RISK SCORING

Is the business certified by an UKAS-accredited certification body and has three or more years of compliance history with this body?"

Risk scoring for existing business without accreditation and more than 3 years of compliance history

Score

Compliance History - inspections		
Compliance History – follow up action		
Compliance History – reinspection		
Complaint History – complaints to the LA		
Complaint History – complaints to the business		
Appreciation of welfare standards - enrichment		
Appreciation of hazards / risks		
Appreciation of hazards / risks - maintenance		
Appreciation of hazards / risks – knowledge and experience		
Appreciation of hazards / risks – dealing with issues		
Welfare management procedures – written procedures		
Welfare management procedures – supervision of staff		

Welfare management procedures – record keeping	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Welfare management procedures - training	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
		Total score <input type="text"/>
RISK FINAL SCORE	<input type="text"/>	

New businesses that do not have three years of compliance history with a local authority or a UKAS-accredited body should automatically be considered high risk as they have no operational history.

Total /Score of 17 or less = Low risk /Score of 18 or more = Higher risk.

WELFARE STANDARDS RATING

Does the business meet ALL the minimum standards? (table above must be empty)	<input type="text"/>
Is there evidence of minor failings? (as per table above)	<input type="text"/>
Comments/summary failings (if any)	<input type="text"/>
<i>If a business has a number of minor failings with regards to the minimum standards, they should receive a risk rating score of 1 star. These should be predominantly administrative and they must not compromise the welfare of the animals.</i>	
Is the animal welfare compromised?	<input type="text"/>
Comments/summary welfare issues (if any)	<input type="text"/>
Does the business achieve all of the required higher standards? *	<input type="text"/>
Does the business achieve 50% of the optional higher standards? *	<input type="text"/>
<small>*To qualify as meeting the higher standards, the business needs to achieve all of the required higher standards as well as a minimum of 50% of the optional higher standards.</small>	
Is the business certified by an UKAS-accredited certification body to the higher standards?	<input type="text"/>
WELFARE FINAL SCORE	<input type="text"/>

OVERALL RATING

INSPECTOR DETAILS

Name in BLOCK letters	<input type="text"/>
Contact Tel. No	
Contact Email Address	
Date	